

## Renormalization group: critical surface of arbitrary co-dimension

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1975 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 8 1221

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/8/8/006>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

### Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.88

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 05:09

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## Renormalization group: critical surface of arbitrary co-dimension

G F Fogg, A McKerrell and R G Bowers

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Liverpool,  
Liverpool L69 3BX, UK

Received 26 February 1975

**Abstract.** The renormalization group is employed to analyse a model Hamiltonian which gives arbitrary odd-integral values for the critical exponent  $\delta$  (where  $H \sim M^\delta$ ). Correspondingly, the co-dimension of the critical surface and the number of 'relevant' variables are each equal to  $\frac{1}{2}(\delta + 1)$ .

### 1. Introduction

The renormalization group (Wilson 1971) has proved a useful tool in the theory of critical phenomena, contributing both to theoretical understanding and to numerical results. The models which are susceptible to more or less explicit calculation include the Gaussian and spherical models (eg Ma 1973), while the  $\epsilon$  expansion (Wilson and Fisher 1972) provides an asymptotic series useful for dimension close to four. Wilson and Kogut (1974) give an extensive review of the subject. Certain scaling properties, justified by physical arguments in Wilson's (1971) theory, are satisfied identically in a model of Baker (1972).

In the usual physical situation the number of variables which must be fixed to obtain the critical point is two (temperature and magnetic field in the case of a ferromagnet). We say that the critical surface has co-dimension two, and  $T$  and  $H$  are the only two 'relevant' variables in the sense of Wilson (1971); ie, they correspond to the (only) two eigenvalues of the linearized form of the renormalization group transformation  $R_s$ , which exceed unity. In this paper we discuss a class of models for which such a critical surface of co-dimension two exists, but, in addition, there is a sequence of critical surfaces of higher co-dimension, each contained in the closure of the previous one. For these smaller critical surfaces not only must  $T$  and  $H$  have their critical values, but certain relations among the parameters in the Hamiltonian must be satisfied. The critical surface of co-dimension two corresponds to critical exponents (Fisher 1967) of the usual mean field type; in particular,  $\delta = 3$ . Higher odd-integral powers of  $\delta$  are represented by critical surfaces of higher co-dimension  $\frac{1}{2}(\delta + 1)$ . The critical exponent  $\gamma$  is equal to unity and most of the usual scaling laws (Fisher 1967) hold, at least below the critical temperature.

The model is essentially that of McKerrell and Bowers (1972, referred to in the following as MB) and is rather unrealistic physically. Its great advantage is the ease with which explicit calculations can be performed, either by using conventional statistical mechanical methods, as in MB, or, as here, by employing the renormalization group.

Our purpose, therefore, is not to add one more to the (regrettably small) number of exactly soluble models in the field, but rather to contribute to the understanding of the renormalization group technique by demonstrating its application to a model in which critical surfaces of arbitrarily high co-dimension appear and can be treated explicitly.

For the renormalization group we follow, to a large extent, the methods and notation of Ma's (1973) very clear introduction to the subject.

## 2. The model

The model (MB) is of a cooperative assembly of  $N$  'spins'  $\phi(x_j) = \pm 1$  which interact by two-point, four-point, ... potentials according to the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_a = -J \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_{2t} N^{1-2t} \sum_{j_1 \dots j_{2t}} \phi(x_{j_1}) \dots \phi(x_{j_{2t}}) - Hm \sum_j \phi(x_j) \tag{1}$$

where  $m$ , the magnetic moment per spin, and  $J$  are positive constants and

$$a = (a_2, a_4, \dots) \tag{2}$$

parametrizes the model. We may regard the spin  $\phi(x_j)$  as situated at a point  $x_j$  of a lattice in a space of dimension  $d$ , but the physically unrealistic feature of this Hamiltonian is, of course, that the potentials are independent of the distances between the points  $x_j$ . This is also its great simplifying feature since if, following Ma, we define the Fourier components  $\phi_k$  of the spin field by

$$\phi_k = N^{-1/2} \sum_j e^{-ik \cdot x_j} \phi(x_j), \tag{3}$$

then  $\mathcal{H}_a$  depends only on  $\phi_0$ :

$$\mathcal{H}_a = -J \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} a_{2t} N^{1-t} \phi_0^{2t} - Hm N^{1/2} \phi_0. \tag{4}$$

We define the partition function as usual by

$$Z_N(T, H, a) = 2^{-N} \sum \exp(-\mathcal{H}_a/kT) \tag{5a}$$

$$= 2^{-N} \sum_{\phi_0} \Omega(\phi_0) \exp(-\mathcal{H}_a/kT). \tag{5b}$$

In (5a) we use (1) for  $\mathcal{H}_a$  and the sum is over all configurations of the  $\phi(x_j) = \pm 1$ ; in (5b)  $\mathcal{H}_a$  is replaced by its expression in terms of  $\phi_0$ , given in (4), the sum is over the possible values of  $\phi_0$ , ie, according to (3), from  $-N^{1/2}$  to  $+N^{1/2}$  in steps of  $2N^{-1/2}$ , and  $\Omega(\phi_0)$  is the number of configurations of the  $\phi(x_j)$  which lead to the particular value  $\phi_0$ . If we let  $r$  denote the number of  $\phi(x_j)$  which are  $-1$ , say, then

$$\Omega(\phi_0) = \binom{N}{r}, \quad \phi_0 = N^{-1/2}(N - 2r). \tag{6}$$

The Gibbs free energy of the model (we choose the notation of Stanley 1971) is

$$G(T, H, b) = - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} kTN^{-1} \ln Z_N(T, H, a) \tag{7a}$$

$$= - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} kTN^{-1} \ln \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\phi_0 \exp\left( \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} b_{2t} N^{1-t} \phi_0^{2t} + Hm N^{1/2} \phi_0/kT \right) \tag{7b}$$

where

$$b_{2t} = Ja_{2t}/kT - [2t(2t - 1)]^{-1}. \tag{8}$$

In going from (7a) to (7b) we have used Stirling's asymptotic formula for the factorials in  $\binom{N}{r}$  and expanded the resulting logarithms in series. The replacement of the sum (over values of  $\phi_0$ ) by an integral is justified in the thermodynamic limit ( $N \rightarrow \infty$ ), provided that we restrict attention to values of  $b$  (and hence  $a$ ) for which the integral converges. Sufficient generality for our purposes is retained on assuming, as in MB, that only a finite number of the  $a_{2t}$  are nonzero.

We denote by  $\mu$  a general point of the parameter space  $\mathcal{M}$ , where we include the external physical variables  $T, H$  and the parameters  $a_{2t}$  of the Hamiltonian. Thus two alternative representations of  $\mu$  in terms of coordinates in  $\mathcal{M}$  are

$$\mu = (T, H, a) = (T, H, a_2, a_4, \dots) \tag{9a}$$

$$= (T, H, b) = (T, H, b_2, b_4, \dots). \tag{9b}$$

The renormalization group transformation  $R_s$  acts on  $\mathcal{M}$ :

$$R_s\mu = \mu' \tag{10}$$

and is realized as follows. In the general case (Ma 1973) where the Hamiltonian depends on all the  $\phi_k$  with  $|k|$  less than some cut-off  $\Lambda$ , those  $\phi_k$  with  $|k| > \Lambda/s$  are integrated out and the maximum wavevector is restored to  $\Lambda$  by the transformation

$$\phi_k = s^{1-\eta/2}\phi'_{sk} \tag{11}$$

where  $\eta$  is a parameter to be chosen later. In the present model only  $\phi_0$  appears and we write

$$\phi_0 = s^{1-\eta/2}\phi'_0. \tag{12}$$

However, the multiplication of wavevectors by  $s$ , indicated in (11), means that the density of points in  $k$  space is reduced by a factor  $s^{-d}$  and, correspondingly, that the number of spins described is reduced:

$$N = s^d N'. \tag{13}$$

If the substitutions (12) and (13) are made in (7b), the expression in (...) can be restored to its original form, but in terms of primed variables  $b'_{2t}, N', \phi'_0, H'$ , by defining

$$b'_{2t} = b_{2t}s^{-d(t-1)+t(2-\eta)} \tag{14a}$$

$$H' = Hs^{(d+2-\eta)/2}. \tag{14b}$$

This leads to the relation

$$G(T, H, b) = s^{-d}G(T, H', b') \tag{15}$$

which will form the basis of most of the subsequent discussion. We should like to emphasize that the deduction of (15) from (7b) and (14) is independent of the semi-physical argument used above.

Equations (14), together with the fact that  $T$  is unchanged, supply the explicit realization of the renormalization group transformation (10) in the present model.

The renormalization group method proceeds by investigating any fixed points of the transformation  $R_s$ , towards which the system tends with increasing  $s$ , ie points  $\mu^*$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that

$$R_s \mu^* = \mu^*, \quad \mu' = R_s \mu \rightarrow \mu^* \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \infty. \tag{16}$$

This leads to the critical point(s) of the model while the behaviour of equation (15) near the fixed point leads to the properties of the model near the critical point and, in particular, to values for the critical exponents.

At a fixed point  $(T^*, H^*, b^*)$  only one component of  $b^*$ , say  $b_{2t_0}^*$ , can be nonzero, as we see from (14a), which leads also to the result

$$(2 - \eta)/d = (t_0 - 1)/t_0. \tag{17}$$

It is clear that  $\eta \leq 2$  (the case  $\eta = 2, t_0 = 1$  does not lead to critical point behaviour and will be excluded in the following) and so it follows from (14b) that a fixed point has  $H^* = 0$ .

We also define

$$\delta = 2t_0 - 1 = \frac{d + 2 - \eta}{d - 2 + \eta} \tag{18}$$

an odd integer which will turn out to be the usual critical exponent. For this reason we will use  $\delta$ , rather than  $t_0$ , to label the transformation  $R_s = R_s^{(\delta)}$  and the fixed point  $\mu^* = \mu^{(\delta)}$  which we have just found. Equation (14a) becomes

$$b'_{2t} = b_{2t} s^{d(\delta + 1 - 2t)/(\delta + 1)}. \tag{19}$$

It is now clear that, as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$b'_{2t} \rightarrow b_{2t}^{(\delta)} = 0 \quad \text{for } 2t > \delta + 1 \tag{20a}$$

$$b'_{2t} = b_{2t}^{(\delta)} = b_{2t} \quad \text{for } 2t = \delta + 1 \tag{20b}$$

while, in order to ensure that

$$b'_{2t} \rightarrow b_{2t}^{(\delta)} = 0 \quad \text{for } 2t < \delta + 1, \tag{20c}$$

we must impose the  $(\delta - 1)/2$  conditions

$$0 = b_{2t} \equiv J a_{2t} / k T - [2t(2t - 1)]^{-1}, \quad 2t < \delta + 1. \tag{21}$$

First let us consider the case  $\delta = 3$ . Then (21) yields a single condition which may be satisfied by fixing the temperature at a particular value  $T_c$  given in terms of the parameters  $J$  and  $a_2$  of the Hamiltonian by

$$T_c = 2J a_2 / k. \tag{22}$$

This is the generic case: fixing  $H = 0, T = T_c$  ensures that  $\mu$  lies on the critical surface  $\mathcal{S}^{(3)} \subset \mathcal{M}$  (provided that  $b_4 \neq 0$ : see below), where we define

$$\mathcal{S}^{(\delta)} = \{ \mu : R_s^{(\delta)} \mu \rightarrow \mu^{(\delta)} \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty \}. \tag{23}$$

This generic critical surface  $\mathcal{S}^{(3)}$  has co-dimension two in  $\mathcal{M}$ .

The situation with higher values of  $\delta$  is rather different. Choosing  $T = T_c$  enables us to satisfy only one of the conditions (21). The others must be interpreted as restrictions on the coefficients  $a_{2t}$  in the Hamiltonian, namely that the  $a_{2t}$  appearing in equation (21) must be proportional to  $[2t(2t - 1)]^{-1}$ . (These conditions were imposed a

priori in MB.) This means that the fixed points  $\mu^{(\delta)}$  for  $\delta > 3$  are non-generic. They are realizable mathematically but a slight perturbation of the Hamiltonian (a slight change in  $a_4$ ) means that  $b_4$  is no longer zero at the critical temperature and  $R_s^{(\delta)}b_4$  diverges as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . The transformation with a non-trivial fixed point is then  $R_s^{(3)}$ , leading, as we shall see, to the usual mean field critical exponents.

### 3. The critical exponents

We turn now to the calculation of the critical exponents corresponding to the fixed point  $\mu^{(\delta)}$  of  $R_s^{(\delta)}$ . (For definitions of the critical exponents see Fisher (1967); our methods are similar to those of Ma (1973) but we choose a different starting point in the Gibbs free energy  $G(T, H, b)$ .) When referring to  $\mu^{(\delta)}$  and its properties we shall assume that the requisite conditions (21) on the parameters  $a_{2r}$  of the Hamiltonian are satisfied.

From (15) and (14b) we have

$$G(T, H, b) = s^{-d}G(T, Hs^{(d+2-\eta)/2}, b'). \quad (24)$$

The corresponding relation for the magnetization is obtained by differentiation with respect to  $H$ :

$$\begin{aligned} M(T, H, b) &= -G_2(T, H, b) = -s^{-d}s^{(d+2-\eta)/2}G_2(T, H', b') \\ &= s^{-(d-2+\eta)/2}M(T, H', b') \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where the subscript 2 denotes differentiation with respect to the second variable.

Now we know that for  $T = T_c$  the parameters  $b'$  approach their fixed-point values  $b^{(\delta)}$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ , independently of the value of  $H$ . We choose  $s$  (so far arbitrary) to be the following function of  $H$ :

$$s = |H|^{-2/(d+2-\eta)} \quad (26)$$

so that  $s \rightarrow \infty$  as  $H \rightarrow 0 \pm$  in such a way that  $H'$  is constant (and equal to the sign of  $H$ ). Thus it is reasonable to suppose (and this is the usual assumption of the renormalization group method) that  $M(T_c, H', b')$  tends to a nonzero constant  $\pm c_1$ , say, and we have

$$M(T_c, H, b) \sim c_1 H^{(d-2+\eta)/(d+2-\eta)} = c_1 H^{1/\delta}, \quad H \rightarrow 0 \pm, \quad (27)$$

showing that  $\delta$  is indeed the usual critical exponent.

The other critical exponents defined for the present model refer to the behaviour for  $T$  near  $T_c$  and  $H$  equal to zero. We look first at the case  $T < T_c$ . We see from (19) that the dominant singular component of  $b'$  is

$$b'_2 = b_2 s^{d(\delta-1)/(\delta+1)} = (T_c - T)s^{2-\eta/2T} \quad (28)$$

where we have used (8), (18) and (22).

We can arrange this to be of order unity by choosing

$$s = (T_c - T)^{-1/(2-\eta)}; \quad (29)$$

then  $s \rightarrow \infty$  as  $T \rightarrow T_c -$ . Thus we may suppose that  $G(T, 0, b')$  will be of order unity. (For  $H = 0$  we see from (7b) that the explicit  $T$  dependence is just the factor  $T$  in front: the rest comes from the  $T$  dependence in  $b$ .) Thus we have, from (15),

$$G(T, 0, b) \sim c_2 (T_c - T)^{d/(2-\eta)} = c_2 (T_c - T)^{(\delta+1)/(\delta-1)}, \quad (30)$$

showing that the critical exponent  $\alpha'$  is given by

$$2 - \alpha' = (\delta + 1)/(\delta - 1), \quad \alpha' = (\delta - 3)/(\delta - 1). \quad (31)$$

A similar calculation applied to (25) shows that

$$M(T, 0, b) \sim c_3(T_c - T)^{(d-2+\eta)/2(2-\eta)} = c_3(T_c - T)^{1/(\delta-1)}. \quad (32)$$

Thus

$$\beta = 1/(\delta - 1). \quad (33)$$

The corresponding result for the susceptibility  $\chi = \partial M/\partial H$  is, from (25),

$$\chi(T, 0, b) = s^{-(d-2+\eta)/2} s^{(d+2-\eta)/2} \chi(T, 0, b') \sim c_4(T_c - T)^{-1}, \quad (34)$$

so we have

$$\gamma' = 1. \quad (35)$$

Each successive differentiation of (25) with respect to  $H$  leads to an additional factor

$$s^{(d+2-\eta)/2} = (T_c - T)^{-(d+2-\eta)/2(2-\eta)} \quad (36)$$

so that we obtain for the gap exponent

$$\Delta' = \frac{1}{2}(d+2-\eta)/(2-\eta) = \delta/(\delta-1). \quad (37)$$

The critical exponents which we have calculated satisfy the scaling laws

$$2 - \alpha' - \beta = \beta + \gamma' = \beta\delta = \Delta'. \quad (38)$$

The definition (18) also has the form of a scaling law, but our model does not allow the interpretation of  $\eta$  as a critical exponent since the correlation function has no space dependence.

It might appear at first sight that the above results for  $T \rightarrow T_c^-$  should hold also for  $T \rightarrow T_c^+$ , but only the argument for  $\chi$  goes through unchanged; thus

$$\gamma = \gamma' = 1. \quad (39)$$

Of course,  $M = 0$  when  $H = 0$  for  $T > T_c$  as usual, but in our model

$$G(T, 0, b) = 0, \quad T > T_c, \quad (40)$$

so  $\alpha$  is not defined. This result and that given in MB on the non-uniqueness of  $\Delta(T > T_c)$  require more detailed investigation of the precise form of  $G$  than is natural in the renormalization group approach. Our remarks above concerning quantities being of order unity are oversimplifications of the situation for  $T > T_c$  (thus great care is necessary in applying renormalization group techniques).

For  $\delta = 3$  only, the gap exponent  $\Delta$  is well defined for  $T > T_c$ . For the generic fixed point  $\mu^{(3)}$  we have

$$\alpha' = 0, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma = \gamma' = 1, \quad \delta = 3, \quad \Delta = \Delta' = \frac{3}{2}, \quad (41)$$

the usual mean field critical exponents.

We conclude with some remarks on the relation between the various critical surfaces  $\mathcal{S}^{(\delta)}$  in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Each satisfies  $H = 0$ ,  $T = T_c$ , where  $T_c$  is defined by  $b_2(T_c) = 0$ . Each successive value of  $\delta$ , after  $\delta = 3$ , requires an additional component of  $b$  to vanish at  $T_c$ ,

imposing an extra restriction on  $a$  as discussed earlier. On  $\mathcal{S}^{(5)}$ ,  $b_4(T_c) = 0$ ; on  $\mathcal{S}^{(7)}$ , in addition  $b_6(T_c) = 0$ , and so on. The lowest critical surface  $\mathcal{S}^{(3)}$  is of co-dimension two in  $\mathcal{M}$  and its closure contains all higher  $\mathcal{S}^{(\delta)}$ ;  $\mathcal{S}^{(\delta)}$  has co-dimension  $\frac{1}{2}(\delta + 1)$  and its closure contains all  $\mathcal{S}^{(\delta')}$  for  $\delta' > \delta$ .

### Acknowledgment

GFF acknowledges a studentship from the Science Research Council.

### References

- Baker G A 1972 *Phys. Rev. B* **5** 2622–33  
Fisher M E 1967 *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **30** 615–730  
Ma S-k 1973 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **45** 589–614  
McKerrell A and Bowers R G 1972 *J. Phys. C: Solid St. Phys.* **5** 1–4  
Stanley H E 1971 *Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena* (Oxford: Clarendon)  
Wilson K G 1971 *Phys. Rev. B* **4** 3184–205  
Wilson K G and Fisher M E 1972 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **28** 240–3  
Wilson K G and Kogut J 1974 *Phys. Rep.* **12** 75–200